

# **2011 Milwaukee Homeless Point-in-Time Summer Count**

Results Report

October 2011

Prepared by Timothy J. Anderson and G. Scott Davis  
Center for Urban Initiatives and Research  
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction .....	3
Individual Demographics.....	4
Age .....	4
Number of Homeless Adults and Children .....	4
Presence of Children among Unsheltered and Sheltered Non-HMIS Respondents.....	4
Gender .....	5
Race / Ethnicity .....	6
Veteran Status .....	7
Primary Reason for Homelessness .....	8
Victim of Domestic Violence/Violent Crime .....	9
Domestic Violence Victim .....	9
Victim of Violent Crime .....	9
Chronic Homelessness .....	11
Length of Homelessness.....	11
Number of Times Homeless.....	12
Chronic Homelessness .....	12
Disabling Conditions.....	14
Presence of a Disabling Condition .....	14
Appendix A: Primary Reason for Homelessness Overall .....	16
Appendix B: Primary Reason for Homelessness by Shelter Status.....	17

## Introduction

Every two years, the Milwaukee Continuum of Care (CoC) conducts a point-in-time census of homeless adults, both sheltered and unsheltered. This is a mandated count, and occurs every odd-numbered year in January. This year, the CoC conducted a homeless count in July 2011.

The following report details information about homeless individuals from two datasets: (1) Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data collected by various CoC homeless-serving agencies, and (2) Results from the short-form homeless survey developed by the CoC and the Center for Urban Initiatives and Research (CUIR). These two datasets were merged into a single database. Information was obtained from or about 1,374 individuals, including 1,162 (84.5%) from HMIS and 212 (18.2%) respondents from the short form (111 Unsheltered and 101 Sheltered, but at shelters not involved with HMIS).

Cross-tab analyses (chi-squared) or one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) were performed as appropriate on all variables as appropriate to identify whether a statistically significant difference exists between Unsheltered, Sheltered Non-HMIS, and Sheltered-HMIS groups. Statistical significance was set at the  $p < 0.05$  level. Results with statistically significant differences are noted throughout this report.<sup>1</sup>

***NOTE: The researchers neither intend nor imply that the following results are representative of all homeless individuals in Milwaukee; rather it is an observation of these respondents.***

*Note: Missing responses are not included in this report. Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Crosstab statistical tests become unstable with inconclusive significance when cell sizes (number of responses to a particular response) are fewer than 5. As such, these are not reported as significant in this report.

## Individual Demographics

### Age

Overall, the average age was 32.6 years, with an age range from 0 to 89 years (N=1,366).

- Unsheltered (N=111): Mean age of 43.4 years, with an age range of 18 to 75 years.
- Sheltered Non-HMIS (N=100): Mean age of 35.4 years, with an age range of 11 to 61 years.
- Sheltered-HMIS (N=1,160): Mean age of 31.4 years, with an age range of 0 to 89 years.

The differences in age between groups were statistically significant. On average, Sheltered-HMIS groups had younger respondents while the unsheltered group had older respondents. Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents fell in between the two groups in terms of age.

### Number of Homeless Adults and Children

Using the age variable, the number of adults and minors were determined. As seen in Table 1a on the next page, there were 988 adults and 386 children.

<b>Table 1a: Number of Adults and Children Overall</b>		
<b><i>Status</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
Adult	988	71.9%
Child	386	28.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,374</b>	<b>100%</b>

Looking across each of the three groups, children were only identified in the two sheltered groups. As seen in Table 1b, the majority of children were in the Sheltered-HMIS category, as 371 children were identified as compared to 15 in the Sheltered Non-HMIS category.

<b>Table 1b: Number of Adults and Children by Sheltered Status</b>						
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>Status</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
Adult	111	100%	86	85.1%	791	68.1%
Child	0	0%	15	14.9%	371	31.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Presence of Children among Unsheltered and Sheltered Non-HMIS Respondents

There were no children present with respondents who were unsheltered. The majority (81.2%) of Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents also indicated that they had no children with them. However, there were 41 children according to this data set. This information is presented in Table 1c on the following page.

<b>Table 1c: Number of Homeless Children with Respondent</b>				
	<b>Unsheltered</b>		<b>Sheltered Non-HMIS</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0	102	100%	82	82.0%
1	0	0%	7	7%
2	0	0%	3	3%
3	0	0%	5	5%
4	0	0%	2	2%
5	0	0%	1	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Gender

As seen in Table 2a, males outnumber females overall - 59.5% compared to 40.4%, respectively. Only 0.1% of individuals were identified as transgendered.

<b>Table 2a: Gender Overall</b>		
<b>Gender</b>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Female	555	40.4%
Male	817	59.5%
Transgender	2	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,374</b>	<b>100%</b>

Across all three groups, males outnumber females, but only slightly in the Sheltered Non-HMIS group. There is a statistically significant difference between groups, with a higher proportion of males in the Unsheltered and Sheltered-HMIS group. See Table 2b below.

<b>Table 3b: Gender by Sheltered Status</b>						
	<b>Unsheltered</b>		<b>Sheltered Non-HMIS</b>		<b>Sheltered-HMIS</b>	
<b>Gender</b>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Female	15	13.5%	50	49.5%	490	42.2%
Male	95	85.6%	51	50.5%	671	57.7%
Transgender	1	0.9%	0	0%	1	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Race / Ethnicity

As seen in Table 3a, seven in ten individuals were identified as African American or Black, about three and one-half the next most racial/ethnic group, Caucasian / White (19.7%). There were 5.8% Hispanic / Latino individuals, along with 1.2% for Native American / Alaska Native and Two or more races (each), and 0.3% for both Asian and Other. Note that there is a high amount of missing data, so these results should be interpreted cautiously.

<b>Table 3a: Ethnicity/Race Overall</b>		
<b><i>Ethnicity/Race</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
African American / Black	429	71.5%
Asian	2	0.3%
Hispanic / Latino	35	5.8%
Native American/Alaska Native	7	1.2%
Two or More Races	7	1.2%
Caucasian / White	118	19.7%
Other	2	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>100%</b>

There are differences between groups in terms of race/ethnicity. For each group, African Americans represent the highest proportion, with 55% of unsheltered respondents, 66% of Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents, and 77.6% of Sheltered-HMIS individuals. Caucasians represented the second largest population across each, ranging from 16.5% among the Sheltered HMIS group, to 23.0% for the Sheltered Non-HMIS, and 27.9% of Unsheltered individuals. As previously stated, there was a high degree of missing data; particularly among the Sheltered-HMIS group (65.5% missing data).

<b>Table 3b: Ethnicity/Race by Sheltered Status</b>						
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>Ethnicity/Race</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
African American / Black	61	55.0%	66	66.0%	302	77.6%
Asian	0	0%	2	2.0%	0	0%
Hispanic / Latino	10	9.0%	4	4.0%	21	5.4%
Native American/Alaska Native	4	3.6%	1	1.0%	2	0.5%
Two or More Races	5	4.5%	2	2.0%	0	0%
Caucasian / White	31	27.9%	23	23.0%	64	16.5%
Other	0	0%	2	2.0%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Veteran Status

One in seven individuals was identified as a veteran of the US Armed Forces. See Table 4a.

<b>Table 4a: Veteran Status Overall</b>		
<b><i>Veteran Status</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
Veteran	187	14.2%
Non-Veteran	1,129	85.9%
Don't Know	3	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,319</b>	<b>100%</b>

As seen in Table 4b, the highest proportion of veterans was found in the Sheltered Non-HMIS group. The differences between the three groups were statistically significant.

<b>Table 4b: Veteran Status by Sheltered Status</b>						
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>Veteran Status</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
Veteran	18	16.5%	34	35.1%	135	12.1%
Non-Veteran	91	83.5%	63	64.9%	975	87.6%
Don't Know	0	0%	0	0%	3	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1113</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Primary Reason for Homelessness

Respondents were asked to name the root cause of their homelessness. These answers were then broken down into 20 different unique categories. There were 20 individuals whose responses were more detailed and were able to be placed into two categories. This question had a substantial amount of missing data, with 91.3% of Sheltered-HMIS data as missing. As such, these results should be interpreted cautiously.

As seen in Table 5a, the five most frequently identified reasons for homelessness overall were as follows. A full listing can be found in Appendix A. Unemployment was the most common reason at 14.8%, followed by roommate or family conflict at 11.5% and addiction at 10.8%.

<b>Table 5a: Five Most Frequent Reasons for Homelessness Overall</b>		
<i><b>Reason for Homelessness</b></i>	<i><b>Count</b></i>	<i><b>Percentage</b></i>
Unemployment	48	14.9%
Roommate or Family Conflict	37	11.5%
Addiction	35	10.8%
Eviction	32	9.9%
Low or No Income	31	9.6%

As can be seen in Table 5b, the most common answer for unsheltered individuals was unemployment. Amongst Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents, family/domestic violence was the highest response. Those in the Sheltered-HMIS group were the most likely to cite eviction as the root cause of their homelessness. For a full listing of responses by shelter status, including counts and percentages, see Appendix B.

<b>Table 5b: Five Most Frequent Reasons for Homelessness by Shelter Status</b>		
<i><b>Unsheltered</b></i>	<i><b>Sheltered Non-HMIS</b></i>	<i><b>Sheltered-HMIS</b></i>
1. Unemployment	1. Family / Domestic Violence	1. Eviction
2. Other	2. Addiction	2. Roommate or Family Conflict
3. Low or No Income	3. Roommate or Family Conflict	3. Low or No Income
4. Physical / Mental Disabilities	4. Unemployment	4. Family/Personal Illness / Injury (tie); Other (tie)
5. Addition (tie); Roommate or Family Conflict (tie)	5. Low or No Income (tie); Needs Better Environment (tie); Other (tie)	5. Addiction



## Victim of Domestic Violence/Violent Crime

### Domestic Violence Victim

Of all individuals, 17.5% identified as victims of domestic violence. See Table 6a below.

<b>Table 6a: Domestic Violence Victim Overall</b>		
<b><i>Victim of DV</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
No	1,124	82.5%
Yes	238	17.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,362</b>	<b>100%</b>

The number of respondents who indicated that they were victims of domestic abuse varied by shelter status, and these differences were statistically significant. Just over half of those individuals in Non-HMIS shelters identified as victims of domestic violence. About a third of those who were unsheltered and only 13.6% of those in HMIS shelters reported as victims of domestic violence. See Table 6b.

<b>Table 6a: Domestic Violence Victim By Shelter Status</b>						
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>DV Victim</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
No	74	69.2%	46	49.5%	1,004	86.4%
Yes	33	30.8%	47	50.5%	158	13.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Victim of Violent Crime

Only respondents filling out the short form survey were asked if they had been victims of violent crime. Violent crime included such incidents as assault or battery.

As seen in Table 6c, just over a third (35.4%) of all individuals indicated being a victim of violent crime.

<b>Table 6c: Victim of Violent Crime Overall</b>		
<b><i>Violent Crime Victim</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
No	128	64.6%
Yes	70	35.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 6d on the following page presents data on victims of violent crime by sheltered status. No statistically significant difference exists between the two groups. In both groups, approximately a third of respondents indicated that they had been victims.

<b>Table 6d: Victim of Violent Crime by Shelter Status</b>				
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>Violent Crime Victim</i></b>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	71	65.7%	57	63.3%
Yes	37	34.3%	33	36.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Chronic Homelessness

### Length of Homelessness

Length of homelessness was asked only of those respondents filling out the short form survey. This data is presented in Tables 7a and 7b.

As seen in Table 7a on the following page, just over half of unsheltered and Shelter Non-HMIS individuals indicated they had been homeless for one or more years (51.3%).

<b>Table 7a: Length of Homelessness Overall</b>		
<b><i>Length of Time</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
Less than 1 Month	24	11.6%
1 to 3 Months	30	14.5%
4 to 6 Months	17	8.2%
Longer than 6 Months but less than one Year	30	14.5%
One to Three Years	55	26.6%
More than Three Years	51	24.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>100%</b>

As seen in Table 7b on the following page, almost two thirds (63.3%) of unsheltered respondents indicated that they had been homeless for one to three years or for more than three years. Less than half (37.7%) of Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents fell into either of these categories. This data seems to indicate that unsheltered respondents were more likely to have been without permanent housing for shorter amounts of time. These differences are statistically significant.

<b>Table 7b: Length of Homelessness by Shelter Status</b>				
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>Length of Time</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
Less than 1 Month	7	6.4%	17	17.3%
1 to 3 Months	17	15.6%	13	13.3%
4 to 6 Months	7	6.4%	10	10.2%
Longer than 6 Months but less than one Year	9	8.3%	21	21.4%
One to Three Years	29	26.6%	26	26.5%
More than Three Years	40	36.7%	11	11.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Number of Times Homeless

The number of times a respondent was homeless was only asked of those filling out the short form surveys.

As seen in Table 8a, nearly a third of unsheltered and Sheltered Non-HMIS individuals have been homeless four or more times.

<b>Table 8a: Times Homeless Overall</b>		
<i><b>Times Homeless</b></i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Once	90	44.1%
Twice	34	16.4%
Three	22	10.8%
4 or More Times	58	28.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>100%</b>

Differences between shelter statuses were statistically significant. As shown in Table 8d below, unsheltered individuals were much more likely to report four or more times homeless than Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents (41.5% compared to 14.3%, respectively).

<b>Table 8b: Times Homeless (Short Form)</b>				
	<i><b>Unsheltered</b></i>		<i><b>Sheltered Non-HMIS</b></i>	
<i><b>Times Homeless</b></i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Once	39	36.8%	51	52.0%
Twice	12	11.3%	22	22.4%
Three	11	10.4%	11	11.2%
4 or More Times	44	41.5%	14	14.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Chronic Homelessness

The Department of Housing and Urban Development definition for chronic homelessness is being homeless four or more times in three years or for one year or longer. Using HUD's definition, a variable was created to determine whether a respondent is chronically homeless. As seen in Table 9a, one in five (20.2%) meet the definition of chronic homelessness.

<b>Table 9a: Chronic Homelessness Overall</b>		
	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	1,096	79.8%
Yes	278	20.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,374</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 9b indicates that those in the unsheltered group were much more likely to be considered chronically homeless (68.5%) than those in either sheltered group. Those in the Sheltered-HMIS program were the least likely to be chronically homeless, as only 13.8% met the criteria.

<b>Table 9b: Chronic Homelessness by Shelter Status</b>						
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered-HMIS</i></b>	
	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	35	31.5%	59	58.4%	1002	86.2%
Yes	76	68.5%	42	41.6%	160	13.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1162</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Disabling Conditions

### Presence of a Disabling Condition

Questions about disabilities differed between surveys. Those receiving the short form survey were asked about several different conditions, while the other survey simply asked if the respondent had a disability of a long duration. The data was merged to create a single variable regarding a disabling condition.<sup>2</sup> This aggregated information is presented in Table 10a. The data indicates that 39.4% of respondents have a disabling condition of some kind.

<b>Table 10a: Disabling Condition Overall</b>		
<b><i>Disabling Condition?</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
No	832	60.6%
Yes	542	39.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,374</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Disabling Condition by Shelter Status

Table 10b displays this information by shelter status. Unsheltered respondents were more likely to have a disabling condition as almost 70% fell into this category. Those in the Sheltered-HMIS group were the least likely to have a disabling condition (13.8%), whereas 41.6% of those in the Sheltered Non-HMIS group had a disabling condition.

<b>Table 10b: Disabling Condition by Shelter Status</b>						
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered-HMIS</i></b>	
	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>	<b><i>Count</i></b>	<b><i>Percentage</i></b>
No	35	31.5%	59	58.4%	1002	86.2%
Yes	76	68.5%	42	41.6%	160	13.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,162</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Disabilities and Conditions by Shelter Status (Short Form Respondents)

Those receiving the short form survey were asked about the specific conditions with which they were afflicted. Table 10c displays this information according to shelter status.

As can be seen, unsheltered respondents were more likely to be afflicted with medical conditions/physical disabilities, alcohol abuse issues as well as developmental and learning disabilities. However, developmental disabilities and alcohol abuse differences were not statistically significant. Sheltered Non-HMIS respondents were more likely to have drug abuse issues, and this difference was significant. (Those answering “yes” are reported in the table and an asterisk (\*) indicates that the differences between groups are statistically significant.)

<sup>2</sup> Those in the short form group who indicated that they had a mental illness, medical condition/physical disability, alcohol abuse issue, drug abuse issue or developmental disability were coded as having a disabling condition.

<b>Table 10c: Disabilities and Conditions by Shelter Status (Short Form)</b>				
	<b><i>Unsheltered</i></b>		<b><i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i></b>	
<b><i>Conditions</i></b>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Medical Condition or Physical Disability*	47	43.9%	28	32.2%
Alcohol Abuse Issues	43	40.2%	31	34.4%
Drug Abuse Issues*	26	24.1%	33	35.9%
Developmental Disability	9	8.6%	6	7.2%
Learning Disability*	30	27.5%	7	8.3%
HIV/AIDS	3	2.9%	0	0%

## Appendix A: Primary Reason for Homelessness Overall

<b>Table 11: Primary Reason for Homelessness Overall</b>		
<i><b>Reason for Homelessness</b></i>	<i><b>Count</b></i>	<i><b>Percentage</b></i>
Addiction	35	10.8%
Can't Find Affordable Housing	7	2.2%
Denied/Delayed/Term public assistance	7	2.2%
Discharge-Hospital or Detox	5	1.5%
Eviction	32	9.9%
Family/Domestic Violence	29	9.0%
Family/Personal illness/Injury	11	3.4%
In Transit	1	0.3%
Jail/Prison – Criminal history	5	1.5%
Lifestyle Preference	2	0.6%
Low or No Income	31	9.6%
Moved (not work related)	8	2.5%
Needs Better Environment	8	2.5%
Non-Renewal of Lease	1	0.3%
Other	30	9.3%
Physical/Mental Disabilities	20	6.2%
Roommate or Family Conflict	37	11.5%
Transient	1	0.3%
Unable to Pay Rent/Mortgage	5	1.5%
Unemployment	48	14.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>100%</b>



## Appendix B: Primary Reason for Homelessness by Shelter Status

**Table 12: Primary Reason for Homelessness by Shelter Status**

	<i>Unsheltered</i>		<i>Sheltered Non-HMIS</i>		<i>Sheltered-HMIS</i>	
<i>Reason for Homelessness</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Addiction	7	5.9%	22	21.6%	6	5.9%
Can't Find Affordable Housing	3	2.5%	0	0%	4	3.9%
Denied/Delayed/Term public assistance	5	4.2%	2	2%	0	0%
Discharge-Hospital or Detox	0	0%	0	0%	5	4.9%
Eviction	5	4.2%	2	2%	25	24.5%
Family/Domestic Violence	2	1.7%	27	26.5%	0	0%
Family/Personal illness/Injury	1	0.8%	2	1.9%	8	7.8%
In Transit	0	0.0%	0	0%	1	0.9%
Jail/Prison – Criminal history	1	0.8%	1	1%	3	2.9%
Lifestyle Preference	1	0.8%	1	0.9%	0	0%
Low or No Income	15	12.6%	5	4.9%	11	10.8%
Moved (not work related)	3	2.5%	1	0.9%	4	3.9%
Needs Better Environment	2	1.7%	5	4.9%	1	0.9%
Non-Renewal of Lease	0	0%	0	0%	1	0.9%
Other	17	14.3%	5	4.9%	8	7.8%
Physical/Mental Disabilities	14	11.8%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%
Roommate or Family Conflict	7	5.9%	14	13.7%	16	15.7%
Transient	0	0%	0	0%	1	0.9%
Unable to Pay Rent/Mortgage	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	2	1.9%
Unemployment	34	28.6%	11	10.8%	3	2.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>100%</b>